

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/763,681	Applicant(s) ATZENI ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian J. Livedalen	Art Unit 2878	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Brian J. Livedalen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Anthony Handal.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9/21/07, 9/24/07
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 16, 17, 20, 24, and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Cruce et al. (5981957)
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicant's Representative discussed and agreed upon changes to be made to claims 1, 16, 17, 20, and 24 to cure antecedent basis problems as well as substantive changes to be made to claim 27 to overcome the prior art. Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed to enter all changes via an Examiner's Amendment.